EXHIBIT 3 FILED UNDER SEAL

```
Page 1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
            FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
      SONOS, INC.,
           Plaintiff,
 4
                vs.
                              Case No. 3:21-CV-07559-WHA
 5
 6
      GOOGLE LLC,
 7
           Defendant.
 8
      -AND-
 9
      GOOGLE LLC,
10
           Plaintiff,
11
                                Case No. 3:20-CV-06754-WHA
                 VS.
12
      SONOS, INC.,
13
           Defendant.
14
             GOOGLE DESIGNATED ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
             SONOS DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL &
15
        ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
16
          ZOOM DEPOSITION OF TAD COBURN AS 30(B)(1) &
17
          AS SONOS' 30(b)(6) CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE
18
      (Reported Remotely via Video & Web Videoconference)
      Wolfeboro, New Hampshire (Deponent's location)
19
                      Tuesday, July 12, 2022
20
      STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
21
      REBECCA L. ROMANO, RPR, CSR, CCR
      California CSR No. 12546
2.2
      Nevada CCR No. 827
      Oregon CSR No. 20-0466
23
      Washington CCR No. 3491
24
      JOB NO. 5319142
      PAGES 1 - 245
25
```

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

	Page 2
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SONOS, INC.,
4	Plaintiff,
5	vs. Case No. 3:21-CV-07559-WHA
6	GOOGLE LLC
7	Defendant.
8	-AND-
9	GOOGLE LLC,
10	Plaintiff,
11	vs. Case No. 3:20-CV-06754-WHA
12	SONOS, INC.,
13	Defendant.
14	
15	
16	DEPOSITION OF TAD COBURN, taken on behalf
17	of the Google LLC, with the deponent located in
18	Wolfeboro, New Hampshire, commencing at 9:29 a.m.,
19	Tuesday, July 12, 2022, remotely reported via Video
20	& Web Videoconference before
21	REBECCA L. ROMANO, a Certified Shorthand
22	Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, Registered
23	Professional Reporter.
24	
25	

Veritext Legal Solutions

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	(All parties appearing via Web Videoconference)
3	
4	For the Sonos, Inc:
5	LEE SULLIVAN SHEA & SMITH LLP
6	BY: AMY D. BRODY
7	Attorney at Law
8	656 Randolph Street
9	Floor 5W
10	Chicago, Illinois 60661
11	(312) 754-9602
12	abrody@ls3ip.com
13	
14	For the Google LLC:
15	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
16	BY: MELISSA J. BAILY
17	Attorney at Law
18	50 California Street
19	22nd Floor
20	San Francisco, California 94111
21	(415) 875-6600
22	melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com
23	
24	ALSO PRESENT:
25	Scott Slater, Videographer

	Page 167
1	Is that better?
2	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
3	Q. (By Ms. Baily) Okay. So, Mr. Coburn,
4	you are a coinventor on the '615 patent, correct?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. Do you recall who the other coinventors
7	on the '615 patent are?
8	A. I believe that Joni Hoadley was listed as
9	the other inventor.
LO	Q. And when did you and Ms. Hoadley conceive
L1	of the invention claimed in the '615 patent?
L2	A. The '615 patent that I believe it was
L3	in the oh, gosh.
L4	Yes, I think it was sometime in 2011,
L5	around the summertime perhaps.
L6	Q. And how did you and Ms. Hoadley conceive
L7	of the invention in the '615 patent?
L8	MS. BRODY: Objection to form.
L9	THE DEPONENT: Can you can you be a
20	little clearer on what you mean by "how did we
21	conceive."
22	Q. (By Ms. Baily) Well, what do you recall
23	about the conception of the invention of the
24	'615 patent?
25	A. Well, we were working on the the sort

Page 168 of play to Sonos initiative had already -- had 1 2. begun at that point. And we were discussing very 3 alternative -- various alternatives as to how -how to play -- play to Sonos might work. Where, 4 again, play to Sonos is the general feature whereby 5 6 a -- a third-party music app; i.e., an app -- a 7 third party meaning not an app written by Sonos -could transfer music playback from playing locally 8 9 on the phone, or whatever device the app was 10 running on, and transfer the playback to one or 11 more Sonos speakers without the user having to go 12 through the Sonos app. 13 So in the context of your work on play to Sonos, what do you recall about the conception of 14 15 the subject matter of the '615 patent? 16 MS. BRODY: Objection to form. 17 THE DEPONENT: What do I recall about the 18 conception? I mean, it was 2011. It's quite a number 19 20 of years ago. I don't recall a lot of -- I don't 21 recall the details of the discussions that were had 22 that led up to that patent. 23 I think there were a number of people 24 that we bounced ideas off of and -- yeah. I -- I 25 don't -- you know, I'm not sure I can give you any

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

	Page 169
1	specifics about how the idea was conceived. It was
2	just it was one of the alternatives we came up
3	to come up came up with when discussing
4	various options and, you know, mechanisms that we
5	could use to implement play to Sonos.
6	Q. (By Ms. Baily) And besides what you've
7	already told me, do you recall any other facts and
8	circumstances regarding the conception of the
9	subject matter of the '615 patent?
10	A. Nothing jumps to mind that seems
11	pertinent.
12	Q. Does anything jump to mind that doesn't
13	seem pertinent?
14	A. The weather is nice outside. The wind is
15	blowing. It's a beautiful day. Yeah, there's lots
16	of things that are pertinent.
17	Q. So I just wanted to make sure that you
18	weren't excluding something about the conception of
19	the patent because you didn't think it was
20	pertinent to the case.
21	A. No. No, I
22	Q. So I understand I understand your
23	answer.
24	A. Okay. I'm sorry. I I didn't
25	mean to be cute there or I wasn't I did mean

	Page 173
1	Q. So in topic No. 2 in Exhibit 1137
2	A. Yes.
3	Q do you see that it says "the earliest
4	known use of the alleged inventions claimed in the
5	Patents-in-Suit"?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did you do anything to figure out what
8	Sonos' view is on the earliest known use of the
9	alleged inventions claimed in the patents-in-suit?
10	A. So as I mentioned, Sonos itself did not
11	reduce the the '033 patent to into practice
12	because it required a partner to the the
13	whole patent was around a third-party app playing
14	to Sonos.
15	So we never we, Sonos, never actually
16	reduced it to practice in the sense of an actual
17	implementation that worked.
18	So I guess that's the that's the
19	degree to which I did some research on this ahead
20	of time.
21	Q. And are you aware if I understand
22	well, let me make sure I understand.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Sonos has never used the alleged
25	invention of the '033 patent; is that right?

Page 243

I, Rebecca L. Romano, a Registered

Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand

Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me remotely at the time and place herein set forth; that any deponents in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is true record of the testimony given.

Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested.

I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or any party to this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name.

Dated: July 15, 2022

Returns. formano

Rebecca L. Romano, RPR, CCR

CSR. No 12546

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24